The 10.6 µm SuperPulse CO₂ laser may alleviate the late implant failure linked to tissue tension

Drs. Jack Krauser and Peter Vitruk explore soft tissue recession prevention with the CO₂ laser

Introduction

In 1986, Albrektsson, et al., proposed criteria for evaluating implant success based on clinical and radiographic evidence of osseointegration: the healing of bone around implants to produce direct anchorage of the implant that is then maintained during functional loading without the growth of fibrous tissue at the bone-implant interface. The extensive body of peer-reviewed literature published in the field of implantology since then offers a number of additional criteria to define implant success. These criteria include the absence of peri-implantitis, lack of pain and implant mobility, radiographic evidence of minimal crestal bone loss, clinical function, esthetic outcome, and patient satisfaction. Despite having predictable outcome and long-term success rate, implants sometimes fail — i.e., require removal or have already been lost. Implant failures may be classified as early, when the implant body fails to get osseointegrated, or late, when the implant body is unable to sustain the osseointegration. A number of clinical studies have identified various risk factors that may cause or contribute to implant failure. Among the factors associated with implant failures are bone quality and quantity, history of periodontal disease, edentulism, location of the implant, bacterial contamination, delayed wound healing, surgical trauma, implant-related factors (type of implant system, implant surface), and others.

Tension problem — alarming trend

This article focuses on an alarming issue of implants loosing support and integration due to excessive soft tissue pull or tension from a shallow vestibule and/or dense frenum pull. We now have an abundant number of cases that exhibit tissue recession and possibly late loss failure. For example, Figures 1A-1C show a case of late implant failure.

Figure 1A: 1996 image demonstrates a very good-looking implant and crown on tooth No. 8. The image was taken 3.5 months post insertion (Case courtesy of Dr. K.B. Park)

Figure 1B: 2007 view of the same. Note significant tissue recession and distinct frenum pulls (Courtesy of Dr. K.B. Park)

Figure 1C: 2007 cross-sectional image of the lack of bone in the facial and apical aspect of the implant. Perhaps a prophylactic release of the frenum pull would have prevented this outcome (Courtesy of Dr. K.B. Park)

Smoking, occlusal overload, and other biological and biomechanical factors have also been noted to compromise implant success. More recent studies have concluded that another significant factor of implant success is soft tissue thickness (or biotype). Some studies name lack of adequate keratinized tissue or attached mucosa among contributing factors of implant failure. This topic is controversial, and more studies are needed to prove or disprove its validity.
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Frenum pull: Frena are folds of mucous membrane containing fibrous connective tissue that attach lips and cheeks to the alveolar mucosa, the gingiva, and the underlying periosteum. Some frenal attachments can be dense, pulling on the attached gingiva. Tissue tension caused by the presence of frenum pull can be an important etiological factor in progressive gingival recession around posts and in eventual strut exposure. In the case of implant-retained denture prosthesis, frenum can limit denture extensions and even affect the seal and retention of the denture. The CO2 laser frenectomy procedure that releases tension exerted by the frenum pull creates a better chance of long-term success for a dental implant without sutures pulling, less postoperative swelling, and only minor pain or discomfort.

Lack of keratinized mucosa or attached gingival mucosa: Another risk factor is lack of attached gingiva. The width of attached gingival mucosa varies for different patients and even for different teeth in the same patient. In the oral cavity, attached gingiva (keratinized masticatory mucosa) meets movable alveolar mucosa (lining mucosa) at the mucogingival junction (MGJ). Clinically, the MGJ is identified by a mucogingival groove and the change from the pale pink of the attached gingiva to the bright pink of the movable alveolar mucosa. Width of keratinized mucosa is the distance between the mucogingival junction (MGJ) and the coronal aspect of the keratinized mucosa. There is no unequivocal consensus on the role the presence of keratinized mucosa plays in maintaining implant health. Literature suggests that a greater width of keratinized mucosa is advantageous due to the following:

- It provides a resistant barrier to plaque-induced inflammation
- It replaces non-keratinized margins to prevent recession
- It deepens vestibules to provide better access for tooth brushing
- It dissipates functional and masticatory stress placed on the gingival margin of a restoration
- It facilitates oral hygiene, and improves esthetics and patient comfort

Clinicians generally agree about the link between the insufficient amount of keratinized mucosa or attached gingiva and marginal tissue recession. A study by Chung, et al., found that dental implants with insufficient attached gingiva show more plaque accumulation and mucosal inflammation than implants with adequate attached gingiva. If attached gingiva is insufficient (less than 4 mm), and the MGJ is positioned high, mucosa surrounding the implant is mobile and easily retractable during mastication and speech. Such tissue retraction can facilitate the introduction of plaque into the peri-implant pocket and lead to gingival recession. The presence of adequate (approximately 4 mm) attached gingiva correlates with mucosal health and can help prevent inflammation in peri-implant tissues. Another study has shown the correlation between lack of attached gingiva and crestal bone loss of 2 mm or more. These findings lead many clinicians, the authors included, to believe that the creation of sufficient amount of attached gingiva around implants is important and can potentially prevent implant failure. Width of the attached gingiva may be increased by a local vestibuloplasty.

To summarize, tissue tension or pull due to a shallow vestibule (caused by high muscle attachment), dense frenum, or lack of keratinized/attached mucosa can contribute to gingival recession. In addition to causing an esthetic problem, mucosal recession that denudes threads or a rough implant surface might impede the ability of the patient to maintain the implant clean from plaque. The resulting inflammation and infection create the risk of potential peri-implant bone loss and eventual implant failure.

Proposed solution

In order to effectively release tension created either by a high muscle attachment and/or dense frenum, or high mucogingival junction with only a small amount of gingival mucosa, we recommend performing a CO2 laser frenectomy and/or vestibuloplasty with secondary epithelialization.

Why CO2 laser?

Not all lasers are equally efficient at both tissue vaporization (i.e., ablation or cutting) and coagulation. The difference is illustrated in the absorption spectra for main soft tissue chromophores in Figure 4. Some dental laser wavelengths (around 3,000 nm, such as Erbium lasers) are well absorbed by the water-rich soft tissue and are great at cutting but are not as efficient at coagulating. Other dental laser wavelengths (around 1,000 nm, such as diodes and Nd:YAG) are efficient coagulators, but inefficient scalpel since they are poorly absorbed by the soft tissue.

The 10,600 nm CO2 laser wavelength is efficient at both vaporizing and coagulating the soft tissue simultaneously (Figure 4), although it is not as good as Erbium laser at cutting and not as good as diode/Nd:YAG at coagulating. Most importantly, the CO2 laser's
concentrations of water, hemoglobin (Hb), oxyhemoglobin (HbO2), and melanin.

Light pulsing is also as important for laser surgery as the wavelength: the short and powerful pulses are often superior to long and weak ones. The exact physics of pulsed laser surgery deals with the Thermal Relaxation Time, which depends both on tissue’s light absorption and tissue’s thermal diffusivity, first described by Einstein. The irradiated tissue is ablated (vaporized) the most efficiently when the pulse duration is much shorter than the Thermal Relaxation Time. The tissue adjacent to the ablated zone cools down most efficiently when the length of time between laser pulses significantly exceeds the Thermal Relaxation Time. Such laser pulsing, referred to as SuperPulse, minimizes the depth of coagulation and is a must-have feature of any state-of-the-art soft tissue surgical CO2 laser.

The optimal combination of the CO2 laser wavelength and pulsing allows for a scar-free and bloodless surgery. This also allows for a scar-free, uncomplicated healing that is valued by surgeons across all specialties in dentistry, OMFS, ENT, plastic surgery, etc.

**Laser beam spot size**

Just like the sharpness of the steel blade defines the quality and ease of the incision, the size of the laser beam focal spot determines the quality of the laser cut. The smaller (or sharper) the focal spot of the beam, the narrower and deeper the incision. Just like a dull blade cannot produce a quality incision, an oversized laser beam spot cannot produce a precise and narrow incision. For cutting, the LightScalpel laser handpiece is maintained 1-3 mm away from the tissue and is moved at a hand speed of a few millimeters per second— as illustrated in Figure 6. For a rapid switch from cutting to just photo-coagulation, the laser beam can be defocused. Defocusing can be achieved either by selecting a larger spot size, or by simply moving the handpiece away from the tissue (by approximately 8 mm for LightScalpel tipless laser handpieces), and “painting” the “bleeder” for enhanced hemostasis (Figure 6).

**Laser power density and depth of incision**

For a laser scalpel, the power density of the focused laser beam is equivalent to the mechanical pressure that is applied to a cold steel blade. In other words, greater laser fluence (i.e., greater power density and slower hand speed) results in greater depth and rate of soft tissue removal. During each SuperPulse pulse, the ablation depth δ is given by the formula

δ = A (E – Eth) / Eth

Figure 4: Optical absorption coefficient spectra at different histologically relevant concentrations of water, hemoglobin (Hb), oxyhemoglobin (HbO2), and melanin.

Where A is the absorption depth from Figure 4 and Eth is the ablation threshold fluence, where Eth for the steady state ablation conditions, where A is the absorption depth from Figure 4 and Eth is the ablation threshold fluence, and E is the fluence during the SuperPulse pulse. At the 10.6 µm wavelength of the CO2 laser, the ablation threshold for a water-rich soft tissue with an assumed water content of 75% equals approximately Eth = 3 J/cm². For repetitive pulses that are scanned across the soft tissue, the fluence is defined by the pulse frequency and the hand speed: i.e., the depth of incision depends on laser power settings, spot size, and the surgeon’s hand speed (Figure 7).

**Uses of CO2 lasers in implant dentistry**

CO2 lasers have been used and studied in many areas of implant dentistry. Some authors consider the newer CO2 lasers the most versatile of all the soft tissue lasers in implant dentistry. For example, the CO2 laser is effective for creating flaps, incisions for a sinus lift, stage II implant uncovering, treatment of peri-implantitis, removal of gingival hyperplasia, epulis, fibromas, graft donor site hemostasis, and so on. The CO2 laser allows the clinician to address such critical aspects of implant therapy as the extraction site sterilization, excess cement removal, troughing for digital impression, and muscle pull release. All of the above is important for long-term success of implants.

**Hemostasis:** The CO2 laser’s excellent hemostasis and coagulation (due to close match between coagulation depth and gingival blood vessel diameters) allows to perform surgery even in the most vascularized areas. It affords the clinician improved visibility of the surgical field, and therefore,
allows for more precise and accurate tissue removal.43 Due to the efficient hemostasis, intracapsular surgical wounds often do not require suturing or surgical dressing and can be left to heal by secondary intention.44,45

Minimal post-operative swelling: Another advantage of the CO₂ laser is minimal postoperative swelling and edema due to the intraoperative closure of lymphatic vessels on the margins of the CO₂ laser incision. Lymphatic vessels regenerate in approximately 8 to 10 days after capillary-vessel proliferation.42

Reduced post-operative pain and discomfort: Although it is generally difficult to evaluate pain, less discomfort was reported with the CO₂ laser surgery than with conventional one.46 In the study by Niccoli-Filho, et al.,47 the patients reported minimal discomfort only during the first 24 hours after the CO₂ laser surgery. Haytac and Ozcelic48 reviewed the use of the CO₂ laser in frenectomies. Based on patient pain perceptions during this procedure, they concluded that the laser treatment was less painful than the one performed conventionally, with a scalpel. In Neckel's study49, vestibuloplasty was performed on 40 patients with either a conventional blade or with a CO₂ laser. Both groups showed similar increase in the vestibular height, but patients in the CO₂ laser group reported less pain and discomfort. Strauss, et al.,50 and Deppe, et al.,51 compared the recovery process following CO₂ laser surgery with that following cryosurgery and electrosurgery and reported that with the CO₂ laser healing was faster and less painful.

Laser healing and reduced scarring
Significantly reduced wound contraction and scarring are among the most important advantages of CO₂ laser treatment.52-54 In CO₂ laser-irradiated wounds, the healing process is characterized by a more prominent fibroblastic proliferation, with young fibroblasts actively producing collagen. Several studies55-58 found that in comparison with scalpel wounds, only a small number of myofibroblasts (cells responsible for wound contraction) are present in the CO₂ laser-excised wounds. Seventy-two hours after the CO₂ laser surgery, a fibroserous membrane forms over the wound to replace the superficial necrotic layer of the laser-irradiated site.52-55 Approximately 2 weeks postoperatively, the wound starts to epithelialize from the periphery toward the center. The epithelial covering of the laser wound is thinner and parakeratotic in comparison with the epithelium that forms after scalpel resection. This could account for the superb esthetic outcome of CO₂ laser surgery with smooth pliable new tissue and no fibrosis or scarring, while a scalpel can leave some scarring.50 Decreased wound contraction combined with minimal lateral tissue damage, less traumatic surgery, precise control over the depth of incision, and excellent hemostatic ability make the CO₂ laser a safe and efficient alternative to a conventional scalpel.

Case study 1
A 75-year-old female patient presented for recurrent caries in the lower left premolars Nos. 20 and 21, underneath crowns (Figures 8A-8B). In addition, the teeth had weak coronal structure. Since they deemed unstable for long-term survival, it was decided to extract them and replace them with two single implants. Six weeks after extraction, the patient returned for a flapless implant placement (Figures 9-11B).

Six weeks after implant placement (the healing phase), the patient came in for the implant crowns loading. Figures 12A and 12B demonstrate healthy appearance of peri-implant mucosa. However, horizontal rotation of the labial and buccal tissue revealed tension created by the movable mucosa very close to the implants. This was due to the narrow zone of attached gingival mucosa (this narrow zone is especially noticeable in Figures 10B, 11A, and 12A). The pale pink tissue is the attached gingiva, whereas the bright pink is the movable alveolar mucosa.

It became apparent that the encroaching movable mucosa and the close buccal frenum insertion (clearly seen in Figure 8A), both exerted tension on the peri-implant tissue, especially noticeable when lip or cheek were manipulated. This created potential for tissue recession and could eventually facilitate the implants’ failure. It was decided to perform a CO₂ laser frenectomy/vestibuloplasty to alleviate the tension and possibly increase the width of attached gingiva.
**Surgical Laser Equipment:** A flexible-fiber dental CO2 laser LightScalpel LS-1005 was utilized with a dental angled tipless handpiece with a 0.25-mm focal spot diameter.

**Laser Settings:** 3 watts; SuperPulse Repeat Pulse Mode F1-6

**Anesthesia:** No local anesthesia was administered; only topical was used. (With some patients local anesthesia is given.)

**CO2 laser procedure:** Traction was applied labially and buccally to expose the tissue tension between the keratinized gingival mucosa and movable alveolar mucosa as well as the frenum pull. Importantly, maintaining traction significantly facilitates laser cutting.

A horizontal CO2 laser incision was made along the mucogingival junction (or the line where the tension is most apparent) following the contours of the underlying bone. The tip of the laser handpiece was held perpendicular to the target tissue at a distance of 1 mm–2 mm from it. The handpiece was moved at the recommended speed of 4-5 mm/second. While making the laser incision, the clinician feels the release of the tissue tension. If the created incision, however, does not provide satisfactory tension relief, additional passes may be needed. Typically, between 4 and 8 laser passes are made to achieve the desired depth of incision, and the procedure usually takes under 1 minute.

Normally, the CO2 laser produces excellent visualization and a clear operatory field (Figure 12C). If, however, slight bleeding occurs after the incision is made, the laser beam is de-focused by increasing the nozzle-to-tissue distance to quickly obtain hemostasis.

**Wound closure:** No suturing was used after this procedure. The wound was left to heal by secondary intention. Generally, the wound re-epithelializes within 2.5-3 weeks.

**Postoperative instructions:** The patient was released from the clinic with instructions to do warm salt water rinses 4 times a day and to apply topical antibiotic and vitamin E gel twice daily directly to the area. The patient was advised to avoid spicy, acidic, or harsh foods with sharp edges, or caustic mouth rinses. She was educated about the maintenance of oral hygiene. The patient reported to be completely pain-free 24 hours after the surgery.

**Follow-up examination:** Two weeks after the procedure, the patient returned for a check-up. Healing progressed well. No signs of swelling or inflammation were noted. The patient did not express any complaints during the postoperative period. The 4-week follow-up visit showed beautifully healed tissue with no scarring (Figures 13A and 13B). The recovery was uneventful. Figures 14A and 14B present the buccal final view of two implant crowns in place and stable soft tissue. Traction applied coronally to the lower lip and cheek demonstrates the lack of tissue tension or frenum pull.

**Case study 2**

The 73-year-old female patient had a single implant on tooth No. 29 placed. Figures 15A and 15B show a noticeable buccal frenum pull present in the region. High mucogingival junction (evident in Figure 15B) indicates insufficient amount of keratinized attached gingiva. It was decided to perform a CO2 laser frenectomy/vestibuloplasty to increase the width of the attached gingiva. The laser procedure was performed utilizing the same laser settings and following the same protocol as previously described in Case study 1:

1. Topical or local anesthesia is administered.
2. Traction to the lip or cheek is applied and maintained throughout the procedure.

![Figure 12A: At 6 weeks healing phase. Note stable-looking tissue](image1)
![Figure 12B: Note movable mucosa by horizontal rotation of the tissue](image2)
![Figure 12C: Note the laser cut utilizing the LightScalpel system. Performed bloodlessly in less than 1 minute without local anesthesia (only topical was used)](image3)

![Figure 13A: Occlusal view 4 weeks after the local vestibuloplasty with the LightScalpel laser](image4)
![Figure 13B: Occlusal view of tissue with the anterior cover screw off. Tissue quality is excellent](image5)

![Figure 14A: Buccal final view of two implant crowns. Note stable tissue. Traction applied to the lower lip and cheek demonstrates the lack of tissue tension or frenum pull](image6)
![Figure 14B: 8 weeks post laser surgery. Note probe pushing coronally on the stable tissue demonstrating lack of frenum pull in the site](image7)

![Figures 15A-15B: 6 weeks post placement occlusal view of tooth No. 29. ISQ value was noted as 80. Note a tissue pull around the implant](image8)
3. Laser handpiece is directed at 90° to the target tissue.
4. Laser-tissue distance is kept at 1 mm-2 mm for an incision and 3 mm-4 mm for coagulation.
5. Hand speed is maintained at 4-5 mm/second.
6. An incision is made at the point where tissue tension is most apparent.
7. The incision should follow the contours of the underlying bone.
8. The incision is extended to the desired depth.
9. No sutures are required.
10. Patient is released from the clinic immediately after the procedure with instructions on how to maintain oral hygiene, to avoid acidic, caustic or harsh foods, drink or mouth rinses. We recommend warm salt rinses 4 times a day; NSAIDs, if needed, topical antibiotic and vitamin E gel twice daily.

Figure 16 demonstrates immediate postoperative view of LightScalpel frenectomy/vestibuloplasty performed in less than 1 minute, bloodlessly, and without local anesthesia.
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